

# Delegated Officer Report (Non Key and Contracts up to a value of £100k)

Decision Maker:	Director of Environment, Nasir Dad
Date of Decision:	24 January 2023
Subject:	Proposed Prohibition of Waiting – Kensington Avenue, Royton
Report Author:	Mark Woodhead, Traffic Engineer
Ward (s):	Royton North

Reason for the decision:

Kensington Avenue is located within a residential area to the west of the A671 Rochdale Road. Public Transport services provide a connection to the residential area. The residential properties are semi-detached with limited access to driveway facilities for residents vehicles.

At the junction of Kensington Avenue/Kingston Drive, the Highways Department of the Council have received reports from TfGM on behalf of bus operators, that inconsiderate parking is making turning movements difficult. Whilst off street parking is available at shared parking areas off Kingston Drive, it is not used by residents who park their vehicles around the junction of Kensington Avenue/Kingston Drive.

Officers have inspected the location and witnessed parking at the junction. Officers recommend new restrictions to address the issues reported.

It is proposed to promote new prohibition of waiting restrictions, protecting the existing junction from inconsiderate parking

This new restriction will:-

 improve turning movements for public transport services

	<ul> <li>encourage motorists to use parking provision provided</li> <li>improve reliability of public transport services</li> </ul>
Summary:	The purpose of this report is to consider the introduction of a new prohibition of waiting restriction order on Kensington Avenue, Royton.
What are the alternative option(s) to be considered? Please give the reason(s) for recommendation(s):	Option 1: To approve the recommendation Option 2: Not to approve the recommendation
Consultation: including any conflict of interest declared by relevant Cabinet Member consulted	The Ward Members have been consulted and Councillor L Quigg and Councillor D Arnott confirm no further comments
	G.M.P. View - The Chief Constable has been consulted and has no objection to this proposal.
	T.f.G.M. View - The Director General has been consulted and on behalf of the Bus Services Directorate I fully support these proposals. There have been several occasions where parked cars in the vicinity of the junction have obstructed the 402 service which has required it to go on diversion. The proposals for junction protection markings will prevent this. Thanks for your help in resolving this issue.
	G.M. Fire Service View - The County Fire Officer has been consulted and has no comment on this proposal.
	N.W. Ambulance Service View - The County Ambulance Officer has been consulted and has no comment on this proposal.
Recommendation(s):	It is recommended that a prohibition of waiting order be introduced in accordance with the plan (47/A4/1681/1) and schedule at the end of this report
Implications:	
What are the <b>financial</b> implications?	The cost of introducing the order is shown below: £

£
1,200
500
1,700
100

The advertising & road marking expenditure of  $\pounds$ 1,700 will be funded from the Highways TRO budget.

The annual maintenance costs estimated at £100 per annum will be met from the Highways Operations budget. If there are pressures in this area as the financial year progresses, the Directorate will have to manage its resources to ensure that there is no adverse overall variance at the financial year end. (John Edisbury)

The Council must be satisfied that it is expedient to make the Traffic Regulation Order in order to avoid danger to persons or other traffic using the road or any other road or for preventing the likelihood of any such danger arising, or for preventing damage to the road or to any building on or near the road, or for facilitating the passage on the road or any other road of any class of traffic, including pedestrians, or for preventing the use of the road by vehicular traffic of a kind which, or its use by vehicular traffic in a manner which, is unsuitable having regard to the existing character of the road or adjoining property or for preserving or improving the amenities of the area through which the road runs.

In addition to the above, under section 122 of the Road Traffic Regulation Act 1984, it shall be the duty of the Council so to exercise the functions conferred on them by the Act as to secure the expeditious, convenient and safe movement of vehicular and other traffic (including pedestrians) and the provision of suitable and adequate parking facilities on and off the highway. Regard must also be had to the desirability of securing and maintaining reasonable access to premises, the effect on the amenities of any locality affected and the importance of regulating and restricting the use of roads by heavy commercial vehicles so as to preserve or improve the amenities of the areas through which the roads run, the strategy produced under section 80 Environmental Protection Act 1990 (the national air quality strategy), the importance of facilitating the passage of public service vehicles and of securing the safety and convenience of persons using or desiring to use such vehicles and any

#### What are the legal implications?

other matters appearing to the Council to be relevant. (A Evans) What are the *procurement* None implications? What are the Human Resources None implications? Equality and Diversity Impact Not required because the measures proposed are aimed at improving highway conditions; the Assessment attached or not required scheme is being promoted to assist vulnerable because (please give reason) users by reducing on street parking What are the property implications None, the work is being undertaken on the public highway which is under the control of the Highway Authority. (Rosalyn Smith) Risks: None New waiting time prohibitions at the junction of **Co-operative agenda** Kensington Avenue/Kingston Drive will address local grievances, improve access to local public transport and keep residents safe (Mahmuda Khanom, Policy Support Officer)

Has the relevant Legal Officer confirmed that the recommendations within this report are lawful and comply with the Council's Constitution?	Yes
Has the relevant Finance Officer confirmed that any expenditure referred to within this report is consistent with the Council's budget?	Yes
Are any of the recommendations within this report contrary to the Policy Framework of the Council?	No

## <u>Schedule</u>

#### Add to the Oldham Borough Council (Royton Area) Consolidation Order 2003 Part I Schedule 1 Prohibition of Waiting

Item No	Length of Road	Duration	Exemptions	No Loading
	Kensington Avenue, Royton (north west side)			
	From its junction with Kingston Drive for a distance of 11metres in a north easterly direction	At any time		
	Kensington Avenue, Royton (north east side)			
	From its junction with Kingston Drive for a distance of 11metres in a north easterly direction	At any time		
	Kensington Avenue, Royton (south west side)			
	From its junction with Kingston Drive for a distance of 16metres in a south westerly direction	At any time		
	Kingston Drive, Royton (north side)			
	From a point 10 metres south east of its junction with Kingston Avenue for a distance of 30 metres in a north westerly direction	At any time		
	Kingston Drive, Royton (south side)			
	From its junction with Kingston Avenue for a distance of 16 metres in a south easterly direction	At any time		

### There are no background papers for this report

Report Author Sign-off:	
Mark Woodhead	
Date:	
24 January 2023	

In consultation with Director of Environment

manz

Signed :

Date: 01.02.2023



